Exhibit P



#: 18739

Document 148-13

Transcript of Alireza Raissinia

Date: February 14, 2025

Case: Headwater Research LLC -v- Verizon/T-Mobile/AT&T/Samsung

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767 | Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

Michigan #8598 | Nevada #089F | New Mexico #566

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                                 Video Deposition of ALIREZA RAISSINIA,
             FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                                                                                           conducted virtually,
                      MARSHALL DIVISION
    -----x
    HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC
                    Plaintiff, :
                   : Case No.:
    T-MOBILE USA, INC. and : 2:23-cv-00379-JRG-RSP
                                                                                      8
                                                                                                Pursuant to notice, before Judith E.
    SPRINT CORP.,
                                                                                           Bellinger, Registered Professional Reporter,
10
                  Defendants. :
                                                                                          Certified Realtime Reporter, and E-Notary Public
    -----x
                                                                                           in and for the State of Maryland.
   HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC, :
                                                                                      12
12
13
                  Plaintiff, :
                   : Case No.:
15 T-MOBILE USA, INC. and : 2:23-cv-00377-JRG-RSP
                                                                                      15
   SPRINT CORP., : (Member Case
17
                 Defendants. :
                                                                                      17
19
   (Caption continued on next page)
                                                                                      19
20
                                                                                      20
21
           Video Deposition of ALIREZA RAISSINIA
                                                                                      21
22
                   Conducted Virtually
                                                                                      22
23
                                                                                      23
                 Friday, February 14, 2025
24
                                                                                      24
25
                                                                                      25
    (Caption continued from previous page) 12:36 p.m. EST
                                                                                                     APPEARANCES
    12:36 p.m. EST
Job No.: 571075
Pages: 1 - 183
Stenographically reported by: Judith E. Bellinger,
RPR, CRR, CSR-TX, CCR-WA, CCR-NM
                                                                                      3
                                                                                           ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
                                                                                                REZA MIRZAIE, ESQUIRE
    HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,
                                                                                                KRISTOPHER R. DAVIS, ESQUIRE
                                                                                      5
                               Case No. :
    VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS
                             : 2:23-CV-00352-JRG-RSP
                                                                                                RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
    INC.; CELLCO PARTNERSHIP : d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS; : VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES:
                                                                                                12424 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
    VERIZUN COM S...
GROUP INC.,

Defendants.
                                                                                                Los Angeles, CA 90025
    HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC, : Plaintiff, :
                                                                                      9
                                                                                                310.826.7474
    V: Case No.:
AT&T SERVICES, INC., AT&T: 2:23-cv-00397-JRG-RSP
MOBILITY, LLC AND AT&T: LEAD CASE
CORP., :
Defendants
                                                                                      10
11
                                                                                           ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS VERIZON AND T-MOBILE:
12
                 Defendants.
                                                                                      12
                                                                                                ANDREW ROBB, ESQUIRE
13
    HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC
                                                                                      13
                                                                                                GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
14
                                Case No.:
2:23-cv-00398-JRG-RSP
                                                                                      14
                                                                                                310 University Avenue
    AT&T SERVICES, INC., AT&T : MOBILITY, LLC AND AT&T :
                                                                                                Palo Alto, CA 94301-1744
    CORP., Defendants.
16
                                                                                                650.849.5334
    HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC, : Plaintiff, :
                                                                                      17
    v. : Case No.:
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., : 2:23-cv-641-JRG-RSP
                                                                                           ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT AT&T:
    SAMSUNG ELEC...
LTD., et al.,
Defendants.:
19
                                                                                      19
                                                                                                KEVIN P. HESS, ESQUIRE
21
                                                                                      20
                                                                                                MCKOOL SMITH
22
                                                                                      21
                                                                                                303 Colorado Street
23
                                                                                      22
                                                                                                Suite 2100
24
                                                                                                Austin, TX 78701
25
                                                                                      24
                                                                                                512.692.8749
```

	Conducte	d on rec	oruary 14, 2023	
		5		7
1 A	P P E A R A N C E S C O N T I N U E D	1	CONTENTS	
2		2	PAGE 2 EXAMINATION OF ALIREZA RAISSINIA PAGE	
3 (ON BEHALF OF THE SAMSUNG DEFENDANTS IN THE	3	B By Mr. Robb 10	
1 6	541 CASE:	4	By Mr. Hess 106	
5	JARED HARTZMAN, ESQUIRE	5	By Mr. Robb 120	
5	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	6	By Mr. Hartzman 127	
7	1000 Maine Avenue, SW	7	By Mr. Mirzaie 163	
8	Suite 1000	8	E X H I B I T S	
9	Washington, DC 20024	9	(Attached to the transcript)	
0	202.783.5070	10	0 Exhibits: PAGE	
11	- AND -	11	1 Exhibit 1 LinkedIn profile of Ali Raissinia 18	
12	TAE HONG, ESQUIRE	12	2 Exhibit 2 T-Mobile my Touch 3G Slide User 48	
1 3	FISH & RICHARDSON	13	guide, Bates Numbers TMO_H200043878	
14	1180 Peachtree Street, NE	14	4 - 4059	
15	21st Floor	15	5 Exhibit 3 "Apple iOS 7:app developers explain 56	
16	Atlanta, GA 30309	16	6 its key features and implications"	
17	404.724.2759	17	7 Exhibit 4 United States Patent Number 87	
18		18	8 9,215,613 B2	
9 (ON BEHALF OF THE DEPONENT:	19	9 Exhibit 5 United States Patent Number 95	
20	DONN WASLIF, ESQUIRE	20	8,589,541 B2	
21	MORGAN FRANICH FREDKIN SIAMAS & KAYS LLP	21	21 Exhibit 6 United States Patent Number 136	
22	333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET	22	9,179,359 B2	
23	Suite 1050	23	23	
24	San Jose, CA 95110	24	4	
25	408.288.8288	25	25	
		6		8
l	A P P E A R A N C E S C O N T I N U E D	1		
		2		
. AL	SO PRESENT:	3	· ·	
1	Philip Astor, Videographer	4	· · ·	
5	Harut Akopyan, Planet Depos Technician	5		
5		6	,	
7		7	and Headwater Research, LLC v. Samsung Electronics	
3		8	B Co, Limited, et al., in the United States District	
9		9	Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall	
10		10	0 Division, Case Numbers 2:23-CV-00352, 379, 392	
1		11	1 [sic], and 641 JRG-RSP.	
12		12	2 Today's date is February 14th, 2025.	
13		13	3 The time on the video monitor is 12:36.	
14		14	4 The remote videographer today is Philip	
15		15	5 Astor, representing Planet Depos.	
16		16	6 All parties of this video deposition	
17		17	7 are attending remotely.	
18		18	8 Would counsel, please, voice-identify	
19		19	9 themselves and state whom they represent.	
20		20	MR. ROBB: Andrew Robb, Gibson Dunn,	
21		21	21 for Verizon and T-Mobile.	
22		2.2	MR. HESS: Kevin Hess, McKool Smith,	
23		23	23 for AT&T.	
24		24	For the record, I didn't hear the	
25		25	25 397 case in the read-on, and that's the AT&T case,	
		1		

Philip. MR. HARTZMAN: Jared Hartzman for Fish Richardson for the Samsung defendants in the Kithardson for the Samsung defendants in the With me on the Zoom is my colleague Tae Hong. MR. HARTZMAN: Jared Hartzman for Fish to do the same, where I don't speak over your answer with the next question. Is that fair? A Fair.	11
2 MR. HARTZMAN: Jared Hartzman for Fish 3 & Richardson for the Samsung defendants in the 4 641 case. 5 With me on the Zoom is my colleague 6 Tae Hong. 2 we do not speak over one another. And I will try 3 to do the same, where I don't speak over your 4 answer with the next question. 5 Is that fair? 6 A Fair.	
3 & Richardson for the Samsung defendants in the 4 641 case. 5 With me on the Zoom is my colleague 6 Tae Hong. 3 to do the same, where I don't speak over your 4 answer with the next question. 5 Is that fair? 6 A Fair.	
4 641 case. 5 With me on the Zoom is my colleague 6 Tae Hong. 4 answer with the next question. 5 Is that fair? 6 A Fair.	
5 With me on the Zoom is my colleague 5 Is that fair? 6 Tae Hong. 6 A Fair.	
6 Tae Hong. 6 A Fair.	
7 MR. WASLIF: Donn Waslif, representing 7 Q It's important, for the transcript,	
8 the witness, Alireza Raissinia. 8 that you answer each question verbally and avoid	
9 MR. MIRZAIE: Reza Mirzaie, plaintiff 9 words such as or sounds such as uh-huh.	
10 Headwater. With me on the line is my partner 10 Is that fair?	
11 Kris Davis. 11 A Fair.	
12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter 12 Q And your counsel may object to	
13 today is Judy Bellinger, representing Planet 13 particular questions. Unless he instructs you not	
14 Depos. 14 to answer, you should go ahead and answer,	
15 The witness will now be sworn. 15 provided you understand the question.	
16 ALIREZA RAISSINIA, 16 Do you agree with that?	
being first duly sworn, was examined 17 A I do.	
18 and testified as follows: 18 Q Is there any reason why you cannot give	
19 MR. ROBB: Before I begin, I'll also 19 truthful testimony today?	
20 note for the record that I believe that in the 20 A No reason.	
21 read-on, the 379 case for T-Mobile was mentioned 21 Q Do you have any question about anything	
22 but the 377 case was not, so I'll just note it's 22 I've just said?	
23 for both of those related cases. 23 A No.	
24 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANTS VERIZON 24 Q I understand you were deposed once	
25 AND T-MOBILE 25 before in a case brought by Headwater against	
1 DVMP POPP.	12
1 BY MR. ROBB: 2 O Good morning, Mr. Raissinia. 1 Samsung; is that right? 2 A Correct.	
- - - - - - - - - - - - -	
3 A Good morning. 3 Q Have you reviewed the transcript for	
4 Q Could you, please, state your full name 4 your deposition in that case?	
5 for the record? 5 A I did. Close to a year ago, yeah.	
6 A Yeah. Alireza Raissinia, my full name. 6 When it was first issued.	
7 Q And you understand you're under oath 7 Q And based on your review of that	
8 transcript, is any of the testimony you gave in	
9 A Correct, I do. 9 that deposition inaccurate?	
10 Q And you understand you have an 10 MR. WASLIF: Vague. Overbroad.	
11 obligation to provide truthful and accurate 11 Go ahead. 12 A. Thomas were and alonification	
12 testimony today? 12 A There were some typos and clarification	
13 A I do. 13 that needed to happen that I suggested, and that	S
14 Q If you find a question is unclear,	
15 please, let me know and I will attempt to clarify 15 Q Were there any substantive inaccuracies	
16 it. Otherwise, I will assume you understand the	
17 question.	
18 Is that fair? 18 A Can you repeat the question?	
19 Q Were there any substantive inaccuracies	
20 Q You can request breaks as needed. But 20 in the testimony you gave in the Samsung	
21 I ask that you not request a break when a question 21 litigation?	
22 is pending. 22 A No.	
23 Is that fair? 23 Q Other than your testimony in the	
24 A Correct. That's fair. 24 Samsung deposition, have you ever been deposed	
25 Q Please wait until I finish my question 25 before?	

Conducted on February 14, 2025				
13	15			
1 A I don't recall. I might have.	1 A I mentioned it to my wife.			
2 Q Have you ever given have you ever	2 Q Is there anyone else you spoke to about			
3 testified in court before?	3 your deposition?			
4 A No. Not in court.	4 A No.			
5 Q Have you ever given sworn written	5 Q Since the Samsung let me back up.			
6 testimony before, for example, in the form of a	6 When was the Samsung deposition?			
7 declaration or affidavit?	7 A I recollect it was about a year and a			
8 A No.	8 few months back.			
9 Q And other than the Samsung deposition	9 Q Since the Samsung deposition, have you			
10 last year, you don't remember, one way or the	10 spoken with anyone about any issues relating to			
11 other, of whether you've ever been deposed before;	11 Headwater?			
12 is that correct?	12 MR. WASLIF: With the exception of the			
13 A That's correct. I don't.	13 attorney-client communications you've had, he's			
14 Q What do you know about this litigation,	14 already covered.			
15 specific litigation against brought by	15 Q Let me ask a more precise question.			
16 Headwater against Verizon and T-Mobile?	Setting aside the conversations you had			
MR. WASLIF: Vague, overbroad.	17 in preparation for your deposition today, with			
18 Can you narrow the question, Counsel?	18 your two attorneys, have you had any conversations			
19 MR. ROBB: Counsel, as you know, in	19 with anyone, for any reason, about Headwater since			
20 this district, you have to restrict the questions	20 the Samsung deposition?			
21 [sic] to form.	21 A If the conversation means talking to			
22 MR. WASLIF: Object to form.	22 someone, no.			
23 Overbroad. And it also calls for privileged	23 Q Okay. Did you have written			
24 communication because you didn't eliminate that.	24 conversations with anyone?			
So I don't know what you mean by the	25 A I had one text exchange with Greg			
14	16			
1 litigation. It's pretty broad.	1 Raleigh.			
2 MR. ROBB: Counsel, you have to limit	2 Q Were there any other written			
3 your objections to form in this district.	3 communications with anyone			
4 MR. WASLIF: And you're not to include	4 A No.			
5 in your answer anything that we discussed. That's	5 Q Let me finish the question.			
6 attorney-client privilege, Mr. Raissania.	6 Were there any other written			
7 Q With counsel's instruction, what do you	7 communications you had with anyone following the			
8 know about the lawsuit that Headwater has brought	8 Samsung deposition?			
9 against Verizon and T-Mobile?	9 A No.			
10 MR. WASLIF: Same objection.	10 Q What did you discuss with Dr. Raleigh			
11 A Nothing.	11 in that written communication you referred to?			
12 Q Nothing. Okay.	12 A He asked me a question, specifically,			
13 What did you do to prepare for your	13 whether I have received my Ph.D. degree, and I			
14 deposition today?	14 told him, no, I got my I worked on I did my			
	15 coursework but I didn't get the degree. That's			
ų ,	16 it.			
16 and David. For about ten minutes with Donn, half 17 an hour with David.				
	17 Q Was there any other subject matter			
18 Q And are Donn and David your attorneys?	18 discussed in your conversation with Dr. Raleigh			
19 A Correct.	19 that you're referring to?			
Q Did you review any documents in	20 A No.			
21 preparation for your deposition today?	21 Q Did you bring any documents with you			
22 A No.	22 today?			
Q Other than meeting with your attorneys,	23 A No.			
24 Donn and David, did you talk with anyone else25 about your deposition that was happening today?	Q Where are you currently?A I'm in Bay Area. I live in Bay Area.			

	Coluary 14, 2023
17 1 Q And are you physically in your house	19 1 Q Is what I've uploaded as Exhibit 1 a
2 right now? Where are you?	1 Q Is what I've uploaded as Exhibit 1 a 2 true and correct copy of your LinkedIn profile?
,	
3 A No, no. I'm in my office.	3 A Okay. Yeah. Yes, it is.
4 Q In your home office?	4 Q Okay. Thank you.
5 A Work office. Work office. At	5 Does your LinkedIn profile accurately
6 Qualcomm.	6 capture your work history?
7 Q So you're at Qualcomm right now?	7 A Yeah, pretty much. Yeah.
8 A Correct.	8 Q Are there any inaccuracies in your
9 Q And is your counsel in the building	9 LinkedIn profile?
10 with you?	10 A Not really. I think I haven't
11 A No.	11 looked at it for a long time, but I Qualcomm,
12 MR. WASLIF: No.	12 Headwater, Headwater.
13 Q I would ask that while we're on the	13 MR. WASLIF: Read it to yourself.
14 record, that you refrain from having any	14 Because the court reporter's going to take down
15 communications with anyone, including your	15 everything.
16 counsel, unless it's actually via this Zoom, i.e.,	16 A Yes, it's a pretty good representative.
17 for example, please don't email your counsel while	17 Correct. It's correct.
18 we're on the record.	18 Q So you were at Qualcomm from 2006 until
19 Can you agree with that?	19 2009; is that right?
20 A Sure.	20 A Correct.
21 Q Mr. Raissinia, I have uploaded	21 Q And then in 2009, you left Qualcomm to
22 actually, sorry. Strike that.	22 join Headwater and ItsOn, correct?
23 I'm going to upload it properly marked.	23 A Yes.
24 You can ignore the PDF I just uploaded.	24 Q And you were with both Headwater and
25 MR. WASLIF: Andrew, I couldn't hear	25 ItsOn for, approximately, one year, and in 2010,
18	20
1 you. I'm sorry.	1 you left ItsOn and then only worked at Headwater
2 MR. ROBB: I uploaded the PDF before I	2 through 2011, correct?
3 designated it Exhibit 1, so I'm just re-uploading	3 A Yeah. I guess, physically, you could
4 it.	4 say I left, but I was I mainly focused on the
5 PLANET DEPOS TECHNICIAN: Do you want	5 Headwater work, as opposed to ItsOn, yes.
6 me to open it?	6 Q Then 2011, you went back to Qualcomm
7 MR. ROBB: No. Hold on just one	7 and left Headwater, right?
8 second.	8 A Right.
9 PLANET DEPOS TECHNICIAN: Sorry.	9 Q And you've been at Qualcomm ever since?
10 (Exhibit 1 marked for identification	10 A Correct.
11 and attached to the transcript.)	11 Q Since do you recall when, in 2011,
12 BY MR. ROBB:	12 you left Headwater?
13 Q Mr. Raissania, I had I keep calling	13 A I think somewhere around I joined
14 you Mr. Raissinia. Is it correct that you	14 Qualcomm January, if I remember correctly. Early
15 still you don't have a Ph.D.; is that right?	15 January. I don't know, January 8, 9, something
16 A Right.	16 like that.
17 Q So, Mr. Raissania, I have uploaded, as	17 I probably had left Headwater before
18 a document marked as Exhibit 1, a PDF which I	18 Christmas or something like that.
19 believe is of your LinkedIn profile, retrieved	19 Q After leaving Headwater at the end of
20 this month.	20 2011 and joining Qualcomm in the beginning of
21 Can you, please, let me know when you	21 2012, have you had any substantive interactions or
22 open it.	22 contributions to Headwater?
23 A The Exhibit 1, EX1?	23 A No. Not at all.
24 Q EX1, yes.	24 Q Are you aware of what ultimately
25 A Okay.	25 happened to ItsOn?

Conducted on 1	
1 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague.	1 MR. WASLIF: Same objections.
	1 MR. WASLIF: Same objections. 2 A No.
3 Q Do you have a general understanding	Q Do you have any view or information,
4 that ItsOn no longer exists?	4 sitting here today, about whether AT&T infringes
5 A Correct. That understanding is	5 any of Headwater's patents?
6 correct.	6 MR. WASLIF: Same objections.
7 Q Beyond the understanding that ItsOn no	7 A No.
8 longer exists, do you have any understanding of	8 Q Do you have any view or information,
9 the details of what caused ItsOn to shut down or	9 sitting here today, about whether Samsung
10 the process by which ItsOn shut down?	10 infringes any of Headwater's patents?
11 A Not at all.	11 MR. WASLIF: Same objections.
12 Q Have you had any insight into inner	12 A No.
13 works of Headwater since you left the company in	13 Q Sitting here today, do you have any
14 2011?	14 view or information about whether any of the
15 A No.	15 products or services offered by Samsung or Apple
16 Q Have you been	16 or Google infringes any of Headwater's patents?
MR. WASLIF: Pardon me. One second,	MR. WASLIF: Form. Calls for an
18 Andrew.	18 opinion, which he's not here to give.
19 Madam court reporter, are you getting	19 Go ahead.
20 my objections or can you hear me?	20 A No.
21 Q Mr. Raissinia, I would suggest that	21 Q When did you first meet Dr. Raleigh?
22 your counsel can tell you the same thing, but I'll	22 A 1995. I don't remember exactly the
23 tell you. If you could just wait one second	23 month or what. But somewhere around that time.
24 because you and Mr. Waslif are talking over each	24 Q And did you interact with Dr. Raleigh
25 other.	25 when you were at Qualcomm in the 2006 to 2009
25 other.	23 when you were at Quarconnin in the 2000 to 2007
22	24
1 MR WASI IF: Thank you for that	1 stint?
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that,	1 stint?
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel.	1 stint? 2 A Very little.
 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the	 stint? A Very little. Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents?	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh?
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No.	 stint? A Very little. Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with Dr. Raleigh? MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form.
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have	 stint? A Very little. Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with Dr. Raleigh? MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. A If any, it was not work related because
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents?	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No.	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently.
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. No. C Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have guerated by Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with anyone relating to alleged infringement by industry participants of	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009?
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to 13 alleged infringement by industry participants of 14 any Headwater patents?	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on?
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to 13 alleged infringement by industry participants of 14 any Headwater patents? 15 MR. WASLIF: Object to form.	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to 13 alleged infringement by industry participants of 14 any Headwater patents? 15 MR. WASLIF: Object to form. 16 A No.	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology.
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to 13 alleged infringement by industry participants of 14 any Headwater patents? 15 MR. WASLIF: Object to form. 16 A No. 17 Q Do you have any view or information,	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to 13 alleged infringement by industry participants of 14 any Headwater patents? 15 MR. WASLIF: Object to form. 16 A No.	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology.
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to 13 alleged infringement by industry participants of 14 any Headwater patents? 15 MR. WASLIF: Object to form. 16 A No. 17 Q Do you have any view or information,	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology. 17 Q I was asking you what you were working
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have gou had any discussions with anyone relating to alleged infringement by industry participants of any Headwater patents? MR. WASLIF: Object to form. MR. WASLIF: Object to form. O Do you have any view or information, sitting here today, about whether Verizon	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology. 17 Q I was asking you what you were working on
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have gou had any discussions with anyone relating to alleged infringement by industry participants of any Headwater patents? MR. WASLIF: Object to form. MR. WASLIF: Object to form. O Do you have any view or information, sitting here today, about whether Verizon infringes any of Headwater's patents?	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology. 17 Q I was asking you what you were working on 18 on. So at a high level, what were you working on 19 at Qualcomm from 2006 to 2009?
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have gou had any discussions with anyone relating to alleged infringement by industry participants of any Headwater patents? MR. WASLIF: Object to form. A No. Q Do you have any view or information, sitting here today, about whether Verizon infringes any of Headwater's patents? MR. WASLIF: Object to form. Calls for	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology. 17 Q I was asking you what you were working 18 on. So at a high level, what were you working on 19 at Qualcomm from 2006 to 2009? 20 MR. WASLIF: Object to the form.
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have gou had any discussions with anyone relating to alleged infringement by industry participants of any Headwater patents? MR. WASLIF: Object to form. A No. Q Do you have any view or information, sitting here today, about whether Verizon infringes any of Headwater's patents? MR. WASLIF: Object to form. Calls for MR. WASLIF: Object to form. Calls for	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology. 17 Q I was asking you what you were working 18 on. So at a high level, what were you working on 19 at Qualcomm from 2006 to 2009? 20 MR. WASLIF: Object to the form. 21 And the question calls for at a high
1 MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, 2 Counsel. 3 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 4 you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the 5 prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 6 A No. 7 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 8 you had any discussions with any individuals about 9 the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? 10 A No. 11 Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have 12 you had any discussions with anyone relating to 13 alleged infringement by industry participants of 14 any Headwater patents? 15 MR. WASLIF: Object to form. 16 A No. 17 Q Do you have any view or information, 18 sitting here today, about whether Verizon 19 infringes any of Headwater's patents? 20 MR. WASLIF: Object to form. Calls for 21 an expert opinion, which he's not here to give. 22 A No. I don't even know.	A Very little. Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with Dr. Raleigh? MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. A If any, it was not work related because he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He was doing something different, apparently. Q At a high level, what were the kinds of things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 to 2009? A I was working on or we were working on? Is I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I was working on engineering technology. Q I was asking you what you were working no. So at a high level, what were you working on what you were working on MR. WASLIF: Object to the form. And the question calls for at a high level, as opposed to anything that would be
MR. WASLIF: Thank you for that, Counsel. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any involvement, whatsoever, in the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have you had any discussions with any individuals about the prosecution of any of Headwater's patents? A No. Q Since leaving Headwater in 2011, have gou had any discussions with anyone relating to alleged infringement by industry participants of Any Headwater patents? MR. WASLIF: Object to form. Calls for an expert opinion, which he's not here to give. A No. I don't even know. O Do you have any view or information,	1 stint? 2 A Very little. 3 Q While you were at Qualcomm in that 2006 4 to 2009 period, what were your interactions with 5 Dr. Raleigh? 6 MR. WASLIF: Objection. Vague. Form. 7 A If any, it was not work related because 8 he was actually in San Diego and I was in Bay Area 9 and I was focused on stuff that he was not. He 10 was doing something different, apparently. 11 Q At a high level, what were the kinds of 12 things you were working on at Qualcomm from 2006 13 to 2009? 14 A I was working on or we were working on? 15 I wasn't working with Greg Raleigh on anything. I 16 was working on engineering technology. 17 Q I was asking you what you were working 18 on. So at a high level, what were you working on 19 at Qualcomm from 2006 to 2009? 20 MR. WASLIF: Object to the form. 21 And the question calls for at a high 22 level, as opposed to anything that would be 23 confidential to Qualcomm.

	reoruary 14, 2023	
173		175
1 A Yes.	1 Q Anyone who says otherwise would be	
2 Q Do you remember he asked four or	2 wrong, right?	
3 five he asked that question four or five times	3 MR. ROBB: Object to form. And I'll	
4 in a row?	4 make a standing scope objection.	
5 Do you recall that?	5 A Correct.	
6 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	6 Q I believe earlier you said that Greg	
7 A Yes.	7 was the driver on certain ideas and interactions	
8 Q But if an app can be woken up in the	8 with certain companies.	
9 background and make API calls, then there's	9 Do you recall that?	
10 certainly something for a policy to apply to and	10 A Yes, I did say that. I'm not sure	
11 block, right?	11 that yeah, I did.	
MR. ROBB: Object to form.	12 Q So, with respect to certain meetings	
13 A Correct.	13 with various carrier companies, it's better to ask	
14 Q And if there is a policy blocking	14 him or someone else at ItsOn and not you, correct?	
15 network access for the app, that's indicating that	15 A Correct.	
16 there's some periods when the app can wake up so	16 Q And I think you got questions today	
17 you want to have the policy in place, fair?	17 about whether you're aware of any misconduct and	
18 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	18 other synonyms of misconduct by the carriers.	
19 A Fair.	19 Do you recall that?	
20 Q Now, earlier today, Mr. Robb also asked	20 A I do.	
21 if Headwater developed any real strike that.	21 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	
22 Earlier today Mr. Robb asked, four or	22 Q And you haven't reviewed, you haven't	
23 five times, whether Headwater developed any real	23 been provided or reviewed any of the confidential	
	24 emails or other documents from the carriers in	
24 technology or just wrote ideas down on paper.		
25 Do you recall that?	25 this case, right?	156
174 1 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	1 A Correct.	176
-	2 Q And even with respect to your time at	
Q Do you recall him asking that same	3 ItsOn, there are other people, as we just	
4 question four or five times in a row, too?	4 established, who are better to ask questions about	
5 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	5 that topic, such as Dr. Greg Raleigh, correct?	
6 Argumentative. Form.	6 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	
7 A I do.	7 A Correct.	
8 Q You just meant that Headwater did not	8 Q You were also asked questions about,	
9 develop product development, correct?	9 early this morning, about the goal of Headwater's	
10 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	10 solutions.	
11 A Correct.	Do you recall that?	
12 Q You're not suggesting that Headwater	12 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	
13 didn't develop real technology in its patents, are	13 A Yes, I did remember.	
14 you?	14 Q And you didn't have any of the patents	
MR. ROBB: Object to form.	15 in front of you during this portion of the day, in	
16 A No.	16 the morning, when you were asked those questions,	
17 Q And you're proud of your patents,	17 I'll just represent that.	
18 correct?	So, your testimony about the goals of	
19 A Absolutely.	19 the solution wasn't about any specific claim of	
Q And, by the way, the patents that list	20 any patent, correct?	
21 you and Dr. Greg Raleigh, and possibly other	21 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	
22 inventors, you all, as inventors, did not develop	22 A Correct.	
23 that during your time at Qualcomm, correct?	23 Q And you were only there in 2009 and	
24 MR. ROBB: Object to form and scope.	24 2010; is that right?	
25 A Correct.	25 A I believe so. I was there a couple	
	T. C.	

Conducted on Fo	edruary 14, 2023
177	179
1 years, yeah.	1 sure. 2 O And do you think his inventions have
Q And any other time periods, it's better	
3 just to ask Dr. Raleigh; is that fair?	1
4 A Absolutely, yeah.	
5 Q And even in that time period, you	_
6 weren't speaking on behalf of Dr. Raleigh; you	6 Q Do you think he's a smart guy? 7 MR. ROBB: Object to form.
7 were just speaking on behalf of yourself, correct?	
8 A Correct.	,
9 Q You were also asked questions about	9 Q You were also asked some questions
10 Headwater's motivations in 2009 and '10, and I	10 today about the application layer or the API layer
11 just had some of the same questions.	11 for the ItsOn development.
You were just speaking on behalf of	Do you recall that?
13 yourself from 2009 to 2010, fair?	MR. ROBB: Object to form.
MR. ROBB: Object to form.	14 A Yeah.
15 A Correct.	15 Q And I think you testified, and correct
16 Q You were also asked a few questions	16 me if I'm wrong, that James Lavine was a better
17 about prior art today. And similar to my	17 person to ask about those questions, correct?
18 questions from a few minutes ago, you haven't	18 A Oh, yeah.
19 compared the construed claim language in any of	19 Q And why is that?
20 the claims in any of these patents to the prior	20 A Well, he was very intuitive of the
21 art, correct, in preparation for your testimony	21 software development and the structural libraries
22 today, correct?	22 and the way you, you know, call a module and hand
23 A No, not for testimony today.	23 over parameters and middleware, the firmware, he
24 Q Now while you were working at Headwater	24 was very familiar with it. I think that knowledge
25 and/or ItsOn in 2009 to 2010, you weren't involved	25 was valuable to putting all this together so it
178	180
1 in every single ItsOn project or on every single2 ItsOn email, correct?	becomes a synthesizable design.The idea can be, in fact, implemented
	_
 A Absolutely correct. Q Would Dr. Raleigh be a better person to 	3 in an efficient way. Like I just said, there's 4 only devices have limited resources. Not like
la l	5 we can just keep writing code. 6 Q Right.
6 weren't involved in or meetings that you weren't 7 involved in?	7 A You have to be cognizant to the overall
MR. ROBB: Object to form. My recommendation, Dr. Raleigh would be	8 CPU, memory, power, and so forth. 9 MR. MIRZAIE: Okay. Maybe we can go
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 off the record for five minutes. I want to
10 the right person to talk to. 11 Q And we talked about this a little bit	11 streamline the rest of my questions. I think I
12 in your past deposition, but I believe you	12 only have a couple minutes.
13 testified that Dr. Raleigh has a unique way of	13 MR. WASLIF: Sure.
14 solving problems in the various technical fields,	14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the
15 correct?	15 record at 18:36.
16 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	16 (Recess taken.)
17 A Correct. My view, yeah.	17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
18 Q Can you explain that a little bit more?	18 record at 18:43.
19 MR. ROBB: Object to form.	19 MR. MIRZAIE: Mr. Raissinia, I don't
20 A Well, he tends to have broad knowledge	
21 and have a way of putting different ideas together	20 have any further questions at this time.
	21 THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. MR. WASLIE: Anybody else have any
22 and to come with an impactful, I'll call it,	22 MR. WASLIF: Anybody else have any
23 impactful and wide, broad patent ideas and 24 innovations. So, he has that tendency, yeah. I	23 other questions? 24 MR. ROBB: None from me.
124 minovations. So, he has that telluency, year. I	MR. ROBB: None from me.
25 mean, I definitely enjoyed working with him for	25 MR. HESS: None from me.